Emissions from informal sector- Brick kilns

OPTION-1Enviornmental License

- An annual license to operate
- Report send about types of fuel and amount used by them or any mitigation measures
- Charge a high fee for dirty fuel users and lower fee for clean fuel users
- Anyone can report if brick makers are operating without license.

OPTION-1Enviornmental License

1-Enforce (4)
depending on size of Kilns????
2-appropriate (3)
Does not require
3-predictablity (5)
But need to increase manpower

OPTION-1Enviornmental License

4-persisitence (4)
Will have a lasting effect an room for improvement
5-flexibility (4)

possible to change options

continue

- 6-economic efficiency (3) costs are balanced
- 7-cost effectiveness (4)

 High cost for manpower, but may be the only way
- 8-burden to EPA (4)
 needs lot of manpower
- 9-legitimacy (4) more people are given responsibility

continue

```
10-transparency
                       (5)
     open to public
11-equity
                       (2)
     more burden on environment agency
12-time scale for implementation (4)
     may take 1-2 years, cannot be done
     immediately
```

- 13-Time scale for effects (4) no fine for restrictions
- 14-overall effectiveness (3)
 out come may be achieved on a long run

Barriers

- Government support
- Financing
- Overlap
- Lobbying
- Public resistance
- Corruption
- Lack of man power
- Lack of trust



- OPTION-2 legal ban on dirty fuels
- 1-(3)needs more man power
- 2-(5)no resource required
- 3-(3) difficult to carry on a long term basis
- 4-(1) no room for improvement
- 5-(1)need lot of man power

- 6-(3)costs are balanced
- 7-(4) Hich cost for manpower, but in a long run it will be reduced
- 8-(3)needs lot of manpower
- 9-(4)more strict measures so it will be accepted
- 10-(1) open to public, since violators jailed
- 11-(3) centralized to enforcing authority
- 12-(4)may take 1-2 years, cannot be done immediately

